RECEIVED

2M8 .IIIN -9 AM 10: 01

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY

REVIEW COMMISSION



#26A1

Michani Bundang, pulie 4 pu Búli Attactic Avenue Hitar tic City, 19 (2840) - 200 Hitar tic City, 19 (2840) - 200 Hitar tic City, 348 4516 (Fax 1009) 348 10804 Ana factoriate city, city

Marie Jiacopello Jones Direct Dial: (609) 572-2259 Email Address: <u>miones@foxrothschild.com</u> File No.: 04231-00034

June 2, 2008

## VIA EMAIL AND REGULAR MAIL

Mickey Kane, Acting Board Secretary Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board P. O. Box 69060 303 Walnut Street Strawberry Square Verizon Tower, 5th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17106-9060

## Re: Aristocrat Technologies, Inc., License No.: M-1179 Aristocrat Australia Pty. Ltd., License No.: M-1192 Comments to Proposed Rulemaking No. 125-84

Dear Acting Secretary Kane:

Please be advised that we represent Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. ("ATI"), Manufacturer License No. M-1179 and Aristocrat Australia Pty. Ltd. ("ATAPL"), Manufacturer License No. M-1192. On behalf of ATI and ATAPL, we are submitting the following comments to proposed amendments to regulations 58 <u>Pa. Code</u> §§ 421a.1, 433a.8, and 435a.2 ("Proposed Rulemaking No. 125-84").

Proposed Rulemaking No. 125-84 intends to impose the requirement that applicants execute releases that will enable the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board (the "Board") to receive information under the Pennsylvania Right to Know Law (65 <u>P.S.</u> §§ 66.1-66.4) ("PARTKL") or the Freedom of Information Act (5 <u>U.S.C.</u> § 552) ("FOIA"). However, the specific language of Proposed Rulemaking No. 125-84 states that applicants will be required to "[e]xecute releases requested by the Board, including releases whereby the applicant consents to the release of information requested by an <u>individual</u> under the PARTKL or FOIA." (Emphasis added.)

AC1 806145v1 06/02/08

JUN 02 2008



Mickey Kane, Acting Board Secretary June 2, 2008 Page 2

We respectfully submit that the language of the Proposed Rulemaking No. 125-84 creates the possibility that certain confidential information could be released to an individual without the applicant's further consent. With the word "individual" included, information could be released to any individual requesting same from the Board and not just the Board. The preamble to the proposed regulations suggests that the Board's intention was to require an applicant execute such a release allowing the Board to receive information under the PARTKL and FOIA. We do not believe that the Board intended that an applicant would consent to the release of confidential information to an individual other than Board Staff, as the wording of the proposed amendments may allow.

Accordingly, we respectfully suggest that reference to the word "individual" be removed from the proposed amendments to 58 <u>Pa. Code</u> §§ 421a.1, 433a.8, and 435a.2 and that the language of Proposed Rulemaking No. 125-84 be modified to insure that the releases will enable only the Board to receive information under the PARTKL or FOIA.

Thank you for consideration of ATI's and ATAPL's comments to the proposed regulations.

Respectfully Submitted,

lamento to marcher Marie Jiacopello Jones

## MJJ:bb

cc: Arthur Cocodrilli, Chairman, Independent Regulatory Review Commission Tracey Elkerton, Global Compliance Manager Nicole Forte, Manager, Corporate Licensing Collin Korich, Director, Technical Compliance & Submission Robert O'Donnell, Director, Regulatory Testing Kathleen Worley, Director, Regulatory Compliance Mark Roy, Technical Compliance Officer